SWEDIABKIDS

Swedish National Diabetes Register (NDR), diabetes in children and adolescents

ANNUAL REPORT 2020



The Goal is for all young children and teenagers to undertake physical activity for at least 60 minutes every day.

NEED MORE FOCUS ON PHYSICAL ACTIVITY? SEE PAGES 29-30



Annual report 2020

Swedish National Diabetes Register (NDR), diabetes in children and adolescents www.ndr.nu

Karin Åkesson Barn- och ungdomsmedicinska kliniken Länssjukhuset Ryhov 551 85 Jönköping karin.akesson@rjl.se

Anna-Lena Fureman

Barn- och ungdomskliniken Östersunds Sjukhus 831 83 Östersund annalena.fureman@regionjh.se

Lena Hanberger

Institutionen för Hälsa, Medicin och Vård Linköpings universitet 581 83 Linköping lena.hanberger@liu.se

Auste Pundziute-Lyckå

Drottning Silvias Barn- och ungdomssjukhus 416 50 Göteborg auste.pundziute-lycka@vgregion.se

Ulf Samuelsson

H.K.H. Kronprinsessans Victorias barn- och ungdomssjukhus 581 85 Linköping ulf.samuelsson@regionostergotland.se

Thanks to James Birchall for the translation into English.

Feel free to use material from the annual report but do not forget to state the reference: Swediabkids Annual Report 2020. DOI:10.18158/S1t0tko3K

The digital report can be found at the NDR's homepage: https://www.ndr.nu/pdfs/Annual_Report_Swediabkids_2020.pdf

Ragnar Hanås

Barn- och ungdomsmottagningen NU-sjukvården/Uddevalla lasarett 451 80 Uddevalla ragnar.hanas@vgregion.se

Stefan Särnblad

Barnkliniken Universitetssjukhuset 701 85 Örebro stefan.sarnblad@oru.se

Elisabeth Jelleryd

Astrid Lindgrens Barnsjukhus 171 76 Stockholm elisabeth.jelleryd@sll.se

Jonatan Nåtman

Registercentrum Västra Götaland jonatan.natman@vgregion.se

Ebba Linder

Nationella Diabetesregistret ebba.linder@vgregion.se

Contents

Introduction

| Results 2020 | 4 |
|---|----|
| Coverage rate | 6 |
| HbA1c | 7 |
| Treatment with insulin pump and rtCGM / isCGM | 22 |
| Screening for complications | 24 |
| Control of blood pressure and albuminuria. | 24 |
| Retinal examinations | 26 |
| Living habits | 28 |
| Hypoglycaemia and ketoacidosis | 30 |
| Gender differences | 30 |
| New onset diabetes | 31 |
| Metabolic acidosis | 33 |
| Publications in 2020 | 35 |

3

Introduction

2020 has been a year unlike any other year. The pandemic caused by covid-19 has meant that many healthcare professionals have worked more than ever and that planned healthcare often has been left behind for the care of acutely ill patients. When Swediabkid's annual report for this year is compiled, we can state that the care for children and young people with diabetes in Sweden nevertheless remains of the same high quality and that metabolic control has not deteriorated. We also do not note any real increase in severe hypoglycaemia. In 2019, we saw a lower proportion of the newly diagnosed children who had ketoacidosis at diagnosis, but this year we are back at the same level as 2018. Although it is a marginal increase, you can of course wonder if the pandemic made people wait longer before seeking medical care.

On two occasions during the year 2020, validations against SmiNet and the cause of death register have been made. This to evaluate whether children and young people with diabetes are at a greater risk than other persons of the same age to be diagnosed with, cared for as an inpatient or to die due to the Covid-19 infection. No increased risk has been found for young people with diabetes without other risk factors and this is welcome news for all children and young people with diabetes.

The proportion of young people who by 2020 has some type of continuous glucose meter is even higher than in previous years and over 70% of children and young people with type 1 diabetes have an insulin pump.

A great daily job is done, not least by children and young people with diabetes but also the people close to them, to achieve such good results. The pediatric diabetes clinics have continued to do a fantastic job when educating how to use modern technology as well as how to manage the disease.

It is a challenge for pediatric diabetes care to be constantly improved and the hope is that Swediabkids, through easily accessible data, can be used as a tool in the improvement work.

Swediabkid's annual report is published online at www.ndr.nu. Swediabkid's annual report 2020 will function as a complement to the data that are continuously updated on the website (www.ndr.nu) and results that cannot be produced and shown on www.ndr.nu/#/knappen are reported. The register is since a couple of years prepared for direct transfer of data from the medical record. Data from three departments of pediatric in Sweden are currently entered via direct transfer. The purpose of the annual report is to present data that is useful in diabetes care and each year, the steering group reviews comments from users of the register and tries in the best way to improve the presentation in the annual report. This year, among other things, the figure "Distribution of the proportion of time with glucose values within the range 4-8 mmol/l" is new. Our hope is that Swediabkids is a useful tool in the improvement work and a useful contributor towards even higher quality of care for all people with diabetes in Sweden!

If you have questions or suggestions for improvements, you are very welcome to contact me or the register's development manager Ebba Linder.

Karin Åkesson, register director for Swediabkids

Results 2020

There is an increased number of patients registered in Swediabkids. Figure 1 shows all the children and adolescents under 18 years of age registered, regardless of diabetes type. Figure 2 shows all patients, even those born in '99-01 to show that data on a few people is registered in Swediabkids even after they have turned 18 years old. Data on these older individuals are not included in the rest of the annual report.

As of Figure 5, only data on persons younger than 18 years of age and with type 1 diabetes are included. In Figure 5, the mean value for HbA1c is calculated on each individual's annual mean. Other figures are based on everyone's last visit in 2020. In all figures, data on at least 20 patients are required to be reported, to have the figures be displayed. This annual report shows data for 2020, taken out in February 2021. The figures may therefore differ slightly from the data in NDR's statistics tool "Knappen" where data is updated daily.







Figure 2. Number of patients separated by birth year. All diabetes diagnoses are included. Year 2020.

Figure 3. The proportion of diabetes diagnoses. Year 2020.



Coverage rate

The coverage rate is based on the number of people registered within Swediabkids divided by the number of people who retrieved a prescription for insulin in 2019 (data taken from the Medicines Register).



Figure 4. Coverage rate per region. Year 2019.

HbA1c

Average-HbA1c has continued to stay at a low level during 2020 and the results are almost identical to 2019. The national target for HbA1c for children and adolescents is \leq 48 mmol/mol.



Figure 5. Average value for HbA1c (mmol/mol) calculated on every individual's yearly mean value.



Figure 6. Percentage that achieve different HbA1c levels by age and gender. Year 2020.

HbA1c values in patients with a diabetes duration of less than 3 months have been excluded.

Figure 7. Percentage of people and their different HbA1c levels divided up by gender and age in patients with a diabetes duration of less than 2 years. Year 2020.





There is approximately the same year on year percentage increase in HbA1c during adolescence. At the lowest ages there are only a few individuals; therefore it is impossible to draw any valid conclusions about the youngest children.

Figure 8. Mean value HbA1c (mmol/mol) in various age groups. Years 2018-2020.

Figure 9 clearly shows how the proportion with HbA1c> 70 mmol/mol continuously decreased while the proportion with good metabolic control increased. 2020 continues to show these fine results.

| 2020 - | | | 34.6 | | 13. | 13.8 20 | | | 18.5 | | | | 6.3 | 6.7 |
|-----------------|-------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|
| 2019 - | | | 35.7 | | 13 | 3.7 | | 18.9 | | 1 | 8.4 | | 6.4 | 6.9 |
| 2018 - | | 30 | .7 | | 12.0 | | 20.7 | | | 21.1 | | | 4 | 8.1 |
| 2017 - | | 21.3 | | 11.7 | | 22.1 | | | 26.0 | | | 8.9 | | 10.0 |
| 2016 - | | 22.2 | | 11.5 | | 21.1 | | | 25.3 | | | 9.5 | | 10.5 |
| 2015 - | 1 | 9.3 | 10 |).3 | 20.3 | | | 26 | 5.9 | | 10 | .7 | 1 | 2.5 |
| 2014 - | | 21.3 | | 11.4 | 19 | .2 | | 2 | 4.5 | | 10. | 2 | 13 | 3.3 |
| 2013 - | 1 | 8.6 | 9.8 | 3 | 16.3 | | 2 | 25.1 | | 12.3 | 2 | | 18.1 | |
| 2012 - | 14.3 | 3 | 7.8 | 15.1 | | 2 | .6.7 | | 1: | 3.6 | | 22 | 2.5 | |
| 2011 | 12.0 | 6. | .9 | 13.7 | | 28.0 | | | 15.7 | | | 23 | .7 | |
| ≗ 2010 - | 10.2 | 5.8 | 13.5 | ; | 2 | 7.8 | | | 16.9 | | 25.9 | | | |
| 2009 - | 10.1 | 5.8 | 14. | 9 | 2 | 5.2 | | | 18.0 | | 26.0 | | | |
| 2008 - | 9.7 | 5.6 | 13.7 | | 24. | 6 | | 18 | .6 | | 27.7 | | | |
| 2007 - | 11.6 | 6.6 | 3 ⁻ | 15.1 | | 24.4 | | | 16.4 | | | 25.9 |) | |
| 2006 - | 11.6 | 6.0 |) 14 | 4.5 | 2 | 23.2 | | 16.9 | | | | 27.8 | | |
| 2005 - | 11.6 | 6.3 | 3 1 ₁ | 4.3 | | 23.6 | 17.5 | | 17.5 | | | 26.7 | | |
| 2004 - | 11.3 | 5.4 | 11.5 | | 22.4 | | | 18.3 | | 3 | | 31.2 | | |
| 2003 - | 8.7 | 4.9 | 10.9 | | 23.0 | | 1 | 8.0 | | | 34 | 1.5 | | |
| 2002 - | 9.7 | 4.3 | 11.5 | | 21.3 | | 1 | 9.6 | | | 3 | 3.6 | | |
| 2001 - | 9.6 | 4.6 | 11.7 | | 21.9 | | | 18.9 | | | 3 | 3.2 | | |
| 2000 - | 10.6 | 4.8 | 9.9 | | 17.7 | | 21.1 | | | | 35 | .9 | | |
| (| Ō | | 20 | | 40 | Percent | tage (% | 60 6) | | | 80 | | | 100 |
| | HbA1c | ≤ 6 6.6 | .5 % (≤ 48 -6.8 % (49 | 8 mmol/i 9-51 mm | mol) 📃 nol/mol) 📕 | 6.9-7.3% 7.4-8.0 ° | % (52-5 % (57-6 | 6 mmol/ 64 mmol | /mol) /mol) | 8.1-8 > 8.6 | .6 % (6 % (> 7 | 65-70 r 70 mm | nmol ol/mo | /mol) vl) |

Figure 9. Distribution of HbA1c (mmol/mol) over time.



Figure 10. The proportion of HbA1c (mmol/Imol) over time in patients with a diabetes duration of less than 2 years.

| Uddevalla Barnklinik - | 20.5 | | | 32.1 | | 16.1 | 13 | 8.4 | 12.5 | 4.5 |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|---------|---------|--------|---------|-------------|
| Örnsköldsvik Barnklinik - | 24 | .1 | | 24.1 | | 20.4 | | 18.5 | Ş | 9.3 |
| Ystad Barnklinik - | 17.1 | | 28.6 | | 8.6 | 21.4 | 1 | 10.0 | 4.3 | 10.0 |
| Lidköping Barnklinik - | 18.8 | | 26.1 | | 2 | 1.7 | 14. | 5 | 15.9 | 9 |
| Örebro Barnklinik - | 16.3 | | 28.5 | | 12.7 | 19 | 9.0 | 13 | .1 | 5.9 4.5 |
| Linköping Barnklinik - | 16.3 | | 28.2 | | 11.9 | 16.7 | | 17.: | 2 | 5.3 4.4 |
| Kungsbacka Barnklinik | 16.2 | | 27.0 | | 14.4 | | 21.6 | | 13.5 | 4.52.7 |
| Skellefteå Barnklinik - | 7.0 | 33.8 | 8 | 1 | 1.3 | 14.1 | 2 | 21.1 | 4.2 | 8.5 |
| Barndiabetes SUS Lund - | 18.8 | | 21.5 | 1 | 3.5 | 16.2 | | 15.8 | 6.2 | 8.1 |
| Barndiabetes SUS Malmö - | 16.1 | | 24.0 | 1: | 2.2 | 20.1 | | 16.5 | | 6.7 4.3 |
| DSBUS Göteborg Barnklinik - | 15.9 | | 23.4 | 10. | 9 | 22.4 | | 16.9 | 3 | 8.8 6.7 |
| Hudiksvall Barnklinik - | 13.8 | 2 | 5.0 | 1: | 5.0 | 18.8 | | 20 | .0 | 5.0 |
| Sachsska Barnklinik - | 18.3 | | 20.4 | 13 | .8 | 19.2 | | 16.3 | 3.8 | 8 8.1 |
| Borås Barnklinik - | 14.6 | 2 | 3.8 | | 20.4 | 1 | 7.9 | | 17.5 | 3.3 |
| Jönköping Barnklinik - | 12.7 | 25 | i.3 | 15 | .1 | 18.5 | | 18.8 | | 5.1 4.5 |
| Visby Barnklinik - | 8.2 | 28.6 | | 14.3 | 3 | 22.4 | | 18 | .4 | 6.1 |
| Trollhättan Barnklinik - | 15.7 | 20 | D.1 | 14.2 | | 17.2 | 16 | 6.4 | 9.0 | 7.5 |
| Skövde Barnklinik | 14.1 | 21 | .5 | 16.3 | | 23.0 | | 11.9 | ç | 9.6 3.7 |
| Kalmar Barnklinik - | 13.1 | 22. | 4 | 18. | 7 | 24 | .3 | | 15.9 | 2.82.8 |
| All pediatric clinics - | 12.8 | 21.8 | 3 | 13.8 | | 20.2 | | 18.5 | 6. | 3 6.7 |
| ALB Solna Barnklinik - | 12.9 | 21.7 | 7 | 12.2 | 15 | .8 | 20.0 | | 8.1 | 9.4 |
| Västerås Barnklinik - | 15.3 | 18. | 5 | 14.9 | | 23.0 | | 14.4 | 7. | 7 6.3 |
| Sundsvall Barnklinik - | 9.3 | 24.3 | | 16.4 | | 23.6 | | 18 | .6 | 4.3 3.6 |
| Kristianstad Barnklinik - | 11.1 | 21.5 | | 11.8 | 14.6 | 1 | 7.4 | 9.0 | | 14.6 |
| Uppsala Barnklinik - | 10.9 | 21.4 | | 12.6 | 2 | 23.9 | | 16.8 | 6.7 | 7.7 |
| Karlskrona Barnklinik | 15.7 | 16. | 5 | 12.4 | | 28.9 | | 19 | .0 | 5.0 |
| Sollefteå Barnklinik - | 8.0 | 24.0 | 4. | .0 12.0 | | 28.0 | | 1 | 6.0 | 8.0 |
| Helsingborg Barnklinik - | 6.9 | 24.7 | | 16.1 | 13 | .8 | 23.6 | ; | 8.6 | 6.3 |
| ALB Huddinge Barnklinik - | 13.2 | 18.0 | | 10.6 | 20.3 | | 19.9 | | 5.8 | 12.2 |
| Östersund Barnklinik | 13.3 | 15.3 | | 18.4 | 14. | 3 | 23.5 | | 6.1 | 9.2 |
| Norrköping Barnklinik - | 8.4 | 19.6 | | 18.2 | | 21.7 | | 21.7 | | 5.6 4.9 |
| Luleå Barnklinik - | 9.7 | 18.1 | 12 | 2.5 | 20.8 | | 20.8 | | 10.4 | 7.6 |
| Växjö Barnklinik - | 7.1 | 20.6 | 12 | 2.9 | 21.3 | | 20.0 | | 7.7 | 10.3 |
| Eskilstuna Barnklinik - | 6.6 | 20.5 | 12. | .3 | 20.5 | | 19.7 | | 9.8 | 10.7 |
| Gävle Barnklinik | 4.6 | 21.9 | 9.9 | 2 | 0.5 | | 27.2 | | 7.3 | 8.6 |
| Umeå Barnklinik - | 11.1 | 14.8 | 8.9 | | 28.1 | | 2 | 27.4 | | 4.4 5.2 |
| Halmstad Barnklinik - | 5.9 | 18.9 | 18 | 3.9 | | 33.7 | | 1 | 3.6 | 5.3 3.6 |
| Nyköping Barnklinik - | 9.4 | 14.1 | 14.1 | | 31.2 | 2 | | 20.3 | | 9.4 |
| Falun Barnklinik | 4.0 16 | .1 11 | 1.5 | 21.3 | | 28 | 3.2 | | 10.9 | 8.0 |
| Karlstad Barnklinik - | 5.9 13 | .7 | 18.6 | | 27.5 | | 2 | 22.5 | E | 6.9 4.9 |
| Gällivare Barnklinik | 18.8 | | 25.0 | | 21 | .9 | | 28.1 | | 3.13.1 |
| Västervik Barnklinik - | 6.2 7.7 | 12.3 | 15 | .4 | | 32.3 | | 2 | 0.0 | 6.2 |
| | 0 | 20 | | 40 | | 60 | | 80 | | 100 |
| | | | | Per | centage | (%) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| LU⊳AA ■ ≤ 6.0 % (≤ | ≤ 42 mmol/m | ol) 📕 6 | .6-6.8 % | 6 (49-51 m | mol/mol |) 7.4-8 | 0 % (57 | -64 mn | nol/mol |) 📕 > 8 6 |
| HDA1C 6.0-6.5 % | (42-48 mmc | l/mol) 📕 6 | .9-7.3% | (52-56 mr | nol/mol) | 8.1-8. | 6 % (65 | -70 mn | nol/mol | l) <u> </u> |

Figure 11. Variations in HbA1c (mmol/mol) across the various pediatric clinics. Year 2020



| Barndiabetes SUS Lund - | 33 | 8.9 | | | 32.1 | | 12. | 5 | 10.7 | 10.7 |
|---------------------------|----------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| Karlskrona Barnklinik • | | 39.1 | | | 26.1 | | 17 | 7.4 | 4.3 | 13.0 |
| Linköping Barnklinik - | 34 | 34.8 | | | 30.4 | | |) 4.3 | 3 | 15.2 |
| Borås Barnklinik - | 30.4 | 1 | | | 33.9 | | 19.6 | | | .9 7.1 |
| Jönköping Barnklinik • | 26.8 | | 35 | .7 | | 19.6 | | | .7 7.1 | |
| Umeå Barnklinik • | 29.0 | | 32 | 2.3 | | 12.9 | | 25. | 8 | |
| DSBUS Göteborg Barnklinik | 29.2 | | 31 | .7 | 9 | .2 | 19.2 | 2 | 5.8 5.0 | |
| Barndiabetes SUS Malmö | 23.2 | | 37.5 | | 5.4 | 14 | .3 | 10.7 | 8.9 | |
| Kristianstad Barnklinik | 24.0 | | 36.0 | | 8.0 |) 1: | 2.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 | |
| Skövde Barnklinik | 34 | | | 25.0 | 9.4 | 4 | 21.9 | 9 | 6.2 3.1 | |
| Örebro Barnklinik • | 28.3 | 28.3 | | | | 10.9 | | 19.6 | 4 | 4.3 8.7 |
| Sachsska Barnklinik • | 34 | 34.7 | | | 1.4 | 16. | 3 | 16 | .3 | 10.2 |
| Norrköping Barnklinik | 24.0 | 24.0 | | | 32.0 12.0 | | | 20.0 | | 8.0 4.0 |
| Västerås Barnklinik | 28.9 | | | 26.3 7.9 | | | | 26.3 | | 5.3 5.3 |
| All pediatric clinics - | 26.4 | | | 28.1 14. | | | | 16.5 | | 10.0 4.6 |
| Uppsala Barnklinik - | 25.0 | | | 27.3 13.6 | | | | 22.7 | | 9.1 |
| ALB Solna Barnklinik • | 24.5 | | : | 25.5 13.6 | | | 10.0 | 1 | 7.3 | 9.1 |
| Luleå Barnklinik - | 29.4 | 1 | | 20.6 | | 17.6 | 17.6 | | | 8.8 5.9 |
| ALB Huddinge Barnklinik - | 21.3 | | 27 | .7 | 4.3 | 2 | 5.5 | | 12.8 | 8.5 |
| Eskilstuna Barnklinik • | 20.0 | | 25.0 | | | 30.0 | | 1 | 5.0 | 5.0 5.0 |
| Helsingborg Barnklinik - | 17.2 | | 27.6 | | | 27.6 | | 10.3 | 10 | .3 6.9 |
| Halmstad Barnklinik - | 10.7 | 32 | 2.1 | | 10.7 | | 28.6 | | | 17.9 |
| Sundsvall Barnklinik • | 16.7 | | 23.3 | | 16.7 | 13.3 | 3 | 20. | 0 | 10.0 |
| Gävle Barnklinik | 3.2 | .2 35.5 | | | | 25.8 | | 16.1 | | 12.9 |
| Karlstad Barnklinik • | 5.1 20.5 | | | 33.3 | | | 17.9 | | 20. | 5 |
| · | Ō | 20 | | 40 Per | centage | 60 (%) | | 80 | 1 | 1 |
| | HbA10 | ≤ 6. 6.0- 6.6- | .0 % (≤ 4 -6.5 % (4 -6.8 % (4 | 12 mmol/ 12-48 mn 19-51 mn | mol) nol/mol) nol/mol) | 6.9-7. 7.4-8. ≤ 8.1 | 3% (52- 0 % (57 % (≤ 65 | 56 mm -64 mr mmol/ | iol/mol nol/mc ′mol) | ן) אר) |

Figure 12. The distribution of HbA1c (mmol/mol) per care unit for patients with diabetes of less than 2 years. Year 2020.



Figure 13. Average HbA1c per care unit. Year 2020.



Figure 14. The average HbA1c per care unit for patients with diabetes of less than 2 years. Year 2020.



Figure 15. Percentage of people that have HbA1c <52 (mmol/mol) by care unit. Year 2020.



Figure 16. Percentage of people that have HbA1c \leq 48 (mmol/mol) by care unit. Year 2020



Figure 17. Mean value per care unit of individuals standard deviation of mean glucose in rtCGM / isCGM during the previous 2 weeks. Year 2020.



Figure 18. Distribution of the proportion of time, with glucose values within the range 4-8mmol/l (Time in target). Year 2020.

| Uddevalla Barnklinik | 13.6 | | 35.5 | 5 | | 10.0 | | 21.8 | 1 | 2.7 | 3.62.7 |
|---------------------------|------|--------|------|------|--------|----------------------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| Trollhättan Barnklinik - | 16.3 | | 31.1 | | | 7.4 | 15.6 | | 18.5 | 5. | 9 5.2 |
| Kungsbacka Barnklinik - | 13.2 | | 33.3 | | | 15.5 | 5 | 19.4 | 1 | 1.6 | 4.7 |
| Lidköping Barnklinik - | 14.5 | | 31.9 | | | 14.5 | | 20.3 | | 14.5 | 2.9 |
| Kalmar Barnklinik | 12.6 | | 31.9 | | | 11.8 | | 3.5 | 19.3 | | 4.2 |
| Linköping Barnklinik - | 18.9 | | 25.0 | | | 0.1 | 11.4 | 2 | 2.8 | 9 | .2 |
| Örebro Barnklinik | 12.8 | | 30.4 | | | 1.5 | 18.1 | | 16.7 | 5 | 7 4.8 |
| Örnsköldsvik Barnklinik • | 2 | 5.5 | 16 | 6.4 | 5.5 | | 25.5 | | 20.0 | | 5.5 |
| Barndiabetes SUS Lund - | 16.8 | | 25.0 | | 8.6 | | 16.8 | 18 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 9.9 |
| DSBUS Göteborg Barnklinik | 16.5 | | 24.4 | | 13 | .5 | 14.7 | | 20.1 | 5. | 4 5.4 |
| Borås Barnklinik | 18.4 | | 21.3 | | 15 | .6 | 19 | .7 | 14.3 | 6 | .1 4.5 |
| Ystad Barnklinik • | 19.0 | | 19.0 | | 12.7 | | 15.9 | 14.3 | 7.9 |) | 11.1 |
| Gävle Barnklinik • | 19.0 | | 19.0 | | 12.4 | | 15.0 | 20 | .3 | 9.2 | 5.2 |
| Skellefteå Barnklinik - | 7.2 | 29.0 | | 1 | 1.6 | 15 | .9 | 20.3 | | 8.7 | 7.2 |
| Sachsska Barnklinik - | 14.4 | 20 | .4 | 10.2 | 2 | 17.0 | | 22.1 | | 8.2 | 7.7 |
| Hudiksvall Barnklinik - | 14.4 | 20 | .0 | | 17.8 | | 18.9 | | 20.0 | 4 | 4.4 4.4 |
| Karlskrona Barnklinik • | 18.0 | 1 | 6.4 | 11. | 5 | 18. | 0 | 23 | .8 | 5.7 | 6.6 |
| All pediatric clinics - | 12.6 | 21.8 | 3 | 11. | 6 | 18. | 0 | 20.5 | ; | 7.6 | 8.0 |
| Uppsala Barnklinik - | 11.1 | 22.6 | | 11.1 | | 18.5 | | 20.7 | | 7.8 | 8.1 |
| Umeå Barnklinik • | 8.8 | 24.8 | | 13. | 1 | 19.7 | | 19 | .0 | 4.4 | 10.2 |
| Jönköping Barnklinik - | 11.1 | 22.2 | | 12.8 | 3 | 17.0 | | 18.8 | | 11.5 | 6.6 |
| Karlstad Barnklinik - | 5.6 | 27.4 | | 13.7 | | | 25.4 | | 22.3 | | 3.0 |
| Skövde Barnklinik | 13.3 | 19.3 | 3 | 15. | 15.6 1 | | .6 | 18.5 | | 9.6 | 8.1 |
| Gällivare Barnklinik | 5.9 | 26.5 | | 8.8 | | 29 | .4 | | 23.5 | | 5.9 |
| Helsingborg Barnklinik - | 10.6 | 21.7 | | 9.0 | • | 16.9 | | 22.2 | 10 | .6 | 9.0 |
| Halmstad Barnklinik - | 8.6 | 23.6 | | 14.9 |) | : | 21.8 | | 20.7 | 5 | .7 4.6 |
| Luleå Barnklinik - | 10.8 | 20.1 | | 7.9 | 18 | .0 | | 23.7 | 7.2 | 1 | 12.2 |
| Östersund Barnklinik - | 11.8 | 17.6 | 7. | .8 | 2 | 4.5 | | 22.5 | 2. | .9 1 | 2.7 |
| Visby Barnklinik - | 10.4 | 18.8 | 8. | .3 | | 27.1 | | 22 | .9 | 4.2 | 8.3 |
| ALB Huddinge Barnklinik - | 13.5 | 15.5 | 1 | 0.9 | 1 | 8.8 | | 24.7 | 6 | .2 | 10.5 |
| ALB Solna Barnklinik • | 11.1 | 17.1 | 10 |).7 | 16 | .8 | 2 | 1.6 | 11.4 | | 11.1 |
| Falun Barnklinik - | 10.2 | 18.1 | 1 | 2.4 | | 22.6 | | 22.6 | 6 | 8.5 | 5.6 |
| Barndiabetes SUS Malmö - | 10.3 | 17.9 | 11 | 1.1 | 15. | 5 | 21 | .8 | 9.5 | 1 | 3.9 |
| Sundsvall Barnklinik • | 5.9 | 22.1 | 1 | 4.0 | | 17.6 | | 20.6 | 7.4 | 1 | 2.5 |
| Nyköping Barnklinik - | 6.3 | 19.0 | 11.1 | | 14.3 | | 28 | .6 | | 17.5 | 3.2 |
| Västerås Barnklinik - | 7.2 | 16.3 | 9.5 | | 24.0 | | | 23.5 | 8.1 | | 11.3 |
| Kristianstad Barnklinik - | 7.6 | 14.6 | 11.1 | 1 | 8.8 | | 17.4 | 8.3 | | 22.2 | |
| Eskilstuna Barnklinik - | 8.9 | 12.5 | 13.4 | 1 | 5.2 | | 22.3 | | 16.1 | | 11.6 |
| Västervik Barnklinik | 10.9 | 7.8 10 | .9 | 18.8 | | | 18.8 | 14.1 | | 18.8 | В |
| | 0 | 20 | | 40 | | | 60 | | 80 | | 100 |
| | - | | | | Perce | ntage (^e | %) | | | | |
| | | | | | | - (| - | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Figure 19. Distribution of average glucose by care unit. Year 2020.

Care units with less than 20 patients or with a reporting rate less than 50% on the variable "average glucose" in rtCGM / isCGM during the previous 2 weeks have been excluded

Figure 20 and Table 1 show the relationship between the 24 pediatric clinics' mean HbA1c in patients with a duration of less than 2 years and the clinic's total mean HbA1c. The figure highlights the importance of early good metabolic control, as we see that clinics with low mean Hba1c in short-term patients also in many cases have a low total mean Hba1c.



Figure 20. Average HbA1c by clinic versus the clinic's mean HbA1c for patients with

Table 1. Average HbA1c by clinic versus the clinic's mean HbA1c for patients with diabetes duration <2.0 years. Year 2020.

| | | Dura | ation < 2,0 år | | Totalt |
|----|---------------------------|--------|----------------|--------|---------------|
| ID | Care unit | Number | Average HbA1c | Number | Average HbA1c |
| 1 | Karlskrona Barnklinik | 23 | 44.3 | 121 | 51.8 |
| 2 | Borås Barnklinik | 56 | 45.8 | 240 | 51.1 |
| 3 | Barndiabetes SUS Lund | 56 | 45.9 | 260 | 52.6 |
| 4 | Jönköping Barnklinik | 56 | 46.4 | 292 | 52.3 |
| 5 | Umeå Barnklinik | 31 | 46.5 | 135 | 54.0 |
| 6 | Sachsska Barnklinik | 98 | 46.7 | 442 | 52.7 |
| 7 | Linköping Barnklinik | 46 | 46.8 | 227 | 51.4 |
| 8 | Skövde Barnklinik | 32 | 46.9 | 135 | 52.6 |
| 9 | DSBUS Göteborg Barnklinik | 120 | 47.4 | 504 | 52.6 |
| 10 | Örebro Barnklinik | 46 | 47.9 | 221 | 51.2 |
| 11 | Uppsala Barnklinik | 44 | 48.1 | 285 | 53.8 |
| 12 | Västerås Barnklinik | 38 | 48.5 | 222 | 52.9 |
| 13 | Norrköping Barnklinik | 25 | 48.6 | 143 | 53.7 |
| 14 | Luleå Barnklinik | 34 | 48.6 | 144 | 55.1 |
| 15 | Barndiabetes SUS Malmö | 56 | 48.9 | 254 | 52.2 |
| 16 | Eskilstuna Barnklinik | 20 | 49.1 | 122 | 56.0 |
| 17 | Helsingborg Barnklinik | 29 | 49.3 | 174 | 54.2 |
| 18 | Halmstad Barnklinik | 28 | 50.1 | 169 | 53.1 |
| 19 | ALB Solna Barnklinik | 110 | 50.3 | 770 | 54.8 |
| 20 | ALB Huddinge Barnklinik | 47 | 50.5 | 311 | 55.9 |
| 21 | Kristianstad Barnklinik | 25 | 50.8 | 144 | 56.0 |
| 22 | Karlstad Barnklinik | 39 | 51.6 | 204 | 54.8 |
| 23 | Gävle Barnklinik | 31 | 53.1 | 151 | 55.7 |
| 24 | Sundsvall Barnklinik | 30 | 53.4 | 140 | 52.7 |
| | All pediatric clinics | 1346 | 48.3 | 7280 | 53.4 |

HbA1c values vs diabetes duration. Patients with a diabetes duration less than 3 months have been excluded. Care units with fewer than 20 patients have also been excluded.

Treatment with insulin pump and rtCGM / isCGM¹

Proportion of people with a pump and a continuous glucose monitoring system (both rtCGM and isCGM) continues to increase. In Figure 21, the proportion with a pump is calculated in all individuals with type 1 diabetes, where data on the method of giving insulin has been documented in the register, and in Figure 22, the denominator is all people with type 1 diabetes.



Figure 21. Percentage with insulin pump across various ages. Years 2018-2020.

2018 2019 2020

¹rtCGM = real time CGM isCGM = intermittent scanning CGM



Figure 22. Percentage of people with continuous glucose measurement (both rtCGM and isCGM) in various age groups. Years 2018-2020.

Figure 23. Percentage of people with isCGM aof people with continuous glucose measurement (both rtCGM and isCGM) in various age groups. Years 2018-2020.



Screening for complications

Control of blood pressure and albuminuria.

According to the Swedish Guidelines², blood pressure and albuminuria should be checked annually from 10 years of age. Figures 24 and 25 show that the quality target of at least 80% of patients being checked annually has not been achieved. Blood pressure has been controlled in about 64% and albuminuria in almost 50% of the patients. It is unclear whether the low screening frequency is due to the values not being registered in Swediabkids or whether annual checks are not carried out at all.

Screening for complications is an important area that needs to be improved. In Figure 24–25, the denominator is all unique patients who are 10 years and older and have type 1 diabetes. Figure 25 is based on the patients who have either a value on the variable albuminuria or on u-Alb / Creatinine and the denominator is all unique patients who are 10 years and older and have type 1 diabetes.



Figure 24. Percentages that have had blood pressure checks across various ages. Years 2018-2020.

²Swedish Guidelines 2016/2017, Pediatric Physician's Association's (BLF in Sweden) sub-association for endocrinology and diabetes.



Figure 25. Percentage that have been checked for albuminuria in different age groups. Years 2018-2020.

Table 2. Albumin/creatinine ratio (ACR). Age group 10-17 years. Years 2018-2020.

| | | 2018 | | 2019 | 2020 | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|--|
| | Number | Percentage (%) | Number | Percentage (%) | Number | Percentage (%) | |
| Number of patients 10-17 years | 5669 | | 5716 | | 5829 | | |
| Number/proportion with ACR | 2823 | 49.8 | 2587 | 45.3 | 2604 | 44.7 | |
| <3.5 mg/mmol | 2650 | 93.9 | 2433 | 94.0 | 2483 | 95.4 | |
| 3.5-25 mg/mmol | 158 | 5.6 | 142 | 5.5 | 109 | 4.2 | |
| >25 mg/mmol | 15 | 0.5 | 12 | 0.5 | 12 | 0.5 | |

Table 3. Proportion of patients with albuminuria. Age group 10-17 years. Years 2018-2020.

| | | 2018 | | 2019 | | 2020 |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------|
| | Number | Percentage (%) | Number | Percentage (%) | Number | Percentage (%) |
| Number of patients 10-17 years | 5669 | | 5716 | | 5829 | |
| Number/proportion with value | 2635 | 46.5 | 2205 | 38.6 | 1791 | 30.7 |
| No | 2569 | 97.5 | 2135 | 96.8 | 1737 | 97.0 |
| Normal value | 17 | 0.6 | 21 | 1.0 | 19 | 1.1 |
| Microalbuminuria | 43 | 1.6 | 41 | 1.9 | 29 | 1.6 |
| Macroalbuminuri | 6 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.3 |

Retinal examinations

Fundus photography starts routinely after 2 years of diabetes duration, earliest at the age of 10 years, and is repeated every other year. In case of pathological findings, more frequent examinations are made.



Figure 26. Percentage with examinations for retinal changes according to Swedish guidelines (BLF 2017) by age. Years 2018-2020.



Figure 28. Percentage with diabetes retinopathy in age groups 10-17 years by diabetes duration. Year 2020.



Normal Retinopathy

The numbers under each bar in the figure are the total in each group

Living habits

According to BLF's Guidelines for children and adolescents in Sweden, teens with diabetes should be asked about smoking from the age of 13 years. In 2020, 78% of teens diagnosed with diabetes aged 13–17 years were asked about smoking. The data is based on what the teens have answered to questions about smoking at a visit to a diabetic clinic. At a group level, those who smoke have a significantly higher mean HbA1c than non-smokers. Those listed in the figures as smokers include everyone that smokes, regardless of whether they stated that they smoke daily, occasionally or that they stopped smoking during the current year. The denominator is all individuals 13-17 years of age, with type 1 diabetes where data on smoking habits is registered.



Figure 29. Percentage of smokers in various age groups. Years 2018-2020.

Table 4. Smoking and average HbA1c levels (IFCC=mmol/mol, DCCT=%). Age group 13-17 years. Year 2020.

| Smoking habits | Number of patients | Mean HbA1c (CI) IFCC | Mean HbA1c (CI) DCCT |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Have not smoked during the year | 3145 | 54.8 (54.4 - 55.2) | 7.16% (7.12 - 7.20) |
| Smokes occationally or daily | 95 | 69.7 (66.1 - 73.3) | 8.53% (8.20 - 8.86) |
| Lacks reported information | 927 | 55.4 (54.6 - 56.2) | 7.22% (7.15 - 7.29) |



Figure 30. The percentage of children with at least 60 minutes of physical activity, every day, 7 days a week. Divided by age and gender. Year 2020.



Figure 31. Percentage normal weight, overweight and obese split by age. Year 2020.

Hypoglycaemia and ketoacidosis

Table 5. Number and percentage of patients who have had hypoglycaemia including unconsciousness with or without seizures.

| Year | Number of patients | Number of patients with hypoglycaemia | Proportion (%) | Number of episodes |
|------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| 2019 | 7543 | 213 | 2.8 | 244 |
| 2020 | 7639 | 174 | 2.3 | 215 |

Table 6. Number and percentage of patients who have had diabetes ketoacidosis (DKA).

| Year | Number of patients | Number of patients with DKA | Proportion (%) | Number of episodes |
|------|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| 2019 | 7543 | 55 | 0.7 | 56 |
| 2020 | 7639 | 55 | 0.7 | 60 |

Gender differences





HbA1c-värden vid diabetesduration < 3 månader har exkluderats.

*The goal, for all children and adolescents, is to be physically active at least 60 minutes per day. The Public Health Authority report "School children's health habits in Sweden 2017/18" shows that 13% of 15-year-old boys and 9% of 15-year-old girls are active every day.

New onset diabetes

There is a backlog in the registration of newly diagnosed individuals. Therefore, reporting on patients for 2020 is incomplete.



Figure 33. Incidents divided by gender and age group.

Information on Sweden's population aged 0-9 years old and 10 to 17 is taken from Statistics Sweden (15-1-2021)

| | | 0-4 ye | ars | 5-9 ye | ars | 10-14 y | ears | 15-17 y | ears | 0-17 ye | ears |
|----------------|--------|--------|------|--------|------|---------|------|---------|------|---------|------|
| Diagnosis year | Gender | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % | Number | % |
| | All | 168 | | 293 | | 344 | | 140 | | 945 | |
| 2016 | Girls | 74 | 44.0 | 130 | 44.4 | 134 | 39.0 | 45 | 32.1 | 383 | 40.5 |
| | Boys | 94 | 56.0 | 163 | 55.6 | 210 | 61.0 | 95 | 67.9 | 562 | 59.5 |
| 0017 | All | 155 | | 291 | | 338 | | 115 | | 899 | |
| 2017 | Girls | 65 | 41.9 | 131 | 45.0 | 135 | 39.9 | 47 | 40.9 | 378 | 42.0 |
| | Boys | 90 | 58.1 | 160 | 55.0 | 203 | 60.1 | 68 | 59.1 | 521 | 58.0 |
| | All | 134 | | 225 | | 309 | | 112 | | 780 | |
| 2018 | Girls | 71 | 53.0 | 110 | 48.9 | 140 | 45.3 | 45 | 40.2 | 366 | 46.9 |
| | Boys | 63 | 47.0 | 115 | 51.1 | 169 | 54.7 | 67 | 59.8 | 414 | 53.1 |
| 0010 | All | 189 | | 308 | | 308 | | 123 | | 928 | |
| 2019 | Girls | 74 | 39.2 | 166 | 53.9 | 129 | 41.9 | 53 | 43.1 | 422 | 45.5 |
| | Boys | 115 | 60.8 | 142 | 46.1 | 179 | 58.1 | 70 | 56.9 | 506 | 54.5 |
| 0000 | All | 114 | | 221 | | 271 | | 95 | | 701 | |
| 2020 | Girls | 44 | 38.6 | 112 | 50.7 | 133 | 49.1 | 29 | 30.5 | 318 | 45.4 |
| | Boys | 70 | 61.4 | 109 | 49.3 | 138 | 50.9 | 66 | 69.5 | 383 | 54.6 |

| Table 7. New onset diabetes | s. Years 2016-2020 |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|
|-----------------------------|--------------------|

| Diagnosis | | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| year | | 0-4 years | 5-9 years | 10-14 years | 15-17 years | 0-17 years |
| 2016 | Number | 125 | 236 | 278 | 111 | 750 |
| | Mean HbA1c (CI) IFCC | 79.3 (76.2 - 82.4) | 89.6 (86.7 - 92.4) | 101.9 (98.6 - 105.1) | 101.2 (95.5 - 106.8) | 94.1 (92.2 - 96.0) |
| | Mean HbA1c (CI) DCCT | 9.41% (9.12 - 9.69) | 10.35% (10.08 - 10.61) | 11.47% (11.17 - 11.77) | 11.41% (10.89 - 11.92) | 10.76% (10.59 - 10.94) |
| 2017 | Number | 129 | 241 | 287 | 94 | 751 |
| | Mean HbA1c (CI) IFCC | 78.9 (75.7 - 82.1) | 91.9 (89.0 - 94.8) | 100.5 (97.3 - 103.8) | 104.4 (97.7 - 111.2) | 94.6 (92.6 - 96.5) |
| | Mean HbA1c (CI) DCCT | 9.37% (9.08 - 9.66) | 10.56% (10.30 - 10.83) | 11.35% (11.05 - 11.65) | 11.70% (11.09 - 12.32) | 10.80% (10.62 - 10.98) |
| 2018 | Number | 124 | 208 | 279 | 99 | 710 |
| | Mean HbA1c (CI) IFCC | 83.9 (79.8 - 88.0) | 90.9 (87.8 - 93.9) | 101.7 (98.5 - 105.0) | 103.7 (98.0 - 109.5) | 95.7 (93.7 - 97.7) |
| | Mean HbA1c (CI) DCCT | 9.82% (9.45 - 10.20) | 10.47% (10.19 - 10.75) | 11.46% (11.16 - 11.76) | 11.64% (11.12 - 12.17) | 10.91% (10.73 - 11.09) |
| 2019 | Number | 155 | 265 | 264 | 99 | 783 |
| | Mean HbA1c (CI) IFCC | 79.9 (77.2 - 82.7) | 93.9 (91.2 - 96.6) | 103.5 (100.2 - 106.8) | 100.2 (94.2 - 106.2) | 95.2 (93.3 - 97.0) |
| | Mean HbA1c (CI) DCCT | 9.46% (9.21 - 9.71) | 10.74% (10.49 - 10.99) | 11.62% (11.32 - 11.92) | 11.32% (10.77 - 11.87) | 10.86% (10.69 - 11.02) |
| 2020 | Number | 109 | 213 | 257 | 85 | 664 |
| | Mean HbA1c (CI) IFCC | 81.7 (77.7 - 85.8) | 95.2 (92.0 - 98.3) | 105.6 (102.0 - 109.3) | 107.8 (101.6 - 114.0) | 98.6 (96.5 - 100.8) |
| | Mean HbA1c (CI) DCCT | 9.63% (9.26 - 10.00) | 10.86% (10.57 - 11.15) | 11.82% (11.48 - 12.15) | 12.02% (11.45 - 12.58) | 11.18% (10.98 - 11.37) |

Table 8. Mean HbA1c (IFCC=mmol/mol, DCCT=%) at diagnosis. Years 2016-2020.

Metabolic acidosis

Metabolic acidosis (diabetic ketoacidosis, DKA) is defined as pH <7.30. In 2019, the proportion who had metabolic acidosis at diagnosis decreased. In 2020, the proportion is slightly higher but comparable with 2018. The reasons for the increase could be due to several mitigating factors and it needs to be analyzed further.

About 50% of cases have had contact with someone in healthcare before the admission for DKA. Of these, about 1/3 have not been handled correctly, i.e. referred to the pediatric clinic on the same day³. Increased knowledge of the symptoms of diabetes and ketoacidosis amongst the general population and within primary care areas could significantly reduce the development of ketoacidosis at onset.





- pH < 7.0 - pH < 7.1 - pH < 7.2 - pH < 7.3



Figure 35. The percentage with DKA (pH<7,30) at diagnosis by age group. Years 2016-2020.

³Wersäll J H, Hanas R et al. ISPAD 2017, abstract eP173; Contacts with health care services for diabetes-related symptoms before admission for DKA among peadiatric patients in Sweden. A two-year national survey.

| Diagnosis year | Age group | Number of patients | Number of pH | Number of pH < 7.3 | Proportion (%) pH < 7.3 |
|----------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| 0010 | 0-1 years | 43 | 34 | 12 | 35.3 |
| | 2-4 years | 125 | 95 | 13 | 13.7 |
| 2016 | 5-9 years | 293 | 237 | 55 | 23.2 |
| | 10-14 years | 344 | 277 | 83 | 30.0 |
| | 15-17 years | 140 | 106 | 22 | 20.8 |
| | 0-17 years | 945 | 749 | 185 | 24.7 |
| | 0-1 years | 34 | 27 | 13 | 48.1 |
| 0017 | 2-4 years | 121 | 104 | 9 | 8.7 |
| 2017 | 5-9 years | 291 | 236 | 48 | 20.3 |
| | 10-14 years | 338 | 278 | 85 | 30.6 |
| | 15-17 years | 115 | 85 | 17 | 20.0 |
| | 0-17 years | 899 | 730 | 172 | 23.6 |
| | 0-1 years | 33 | 32 | 16 | 50.0 |
| 0010 | 2-4 years | 101 | 93 | 13 | 14.0 |
| 2018 | 5-9 years | 225 | 209 | 37 | 17.7 |
| | 10-14 years | 309 | 271 | 77 | 28.4 |
| | 15-17 years | 112 | 94 | 25 | 26.6 |
| | 0-17 years | 780 | 699 | 168 | 24.0 |
| | 0-1 years | 55 | 45 | 23 | 51.1 |
| 0010 | 2-4 years | 134 | 108 | 8 | 7.4 |
| 2019 | 5-9 years | 308 | 268 | 39 | 14.6 |
| | 10-14 years | 308 | 259 | 68 | 26.3 |
| | 15-17 years | 123 | 90 | 26 | 28.9 |
| | 0-17 years | 928 | 770 | 164 | 21.3 |
| | 0-1 years | 25 | 25 | 11 | 44.0 |
| 0000 | 2-4 years | 89 | 82 | 8 | 9.8 |
| 2020 | 5-9 years | 221 | 211 | 41 | 19.4 |
| | 10-14 years | 271 | 258 | 85 | 32.9 |
| | 15-17 years | 95 | 88 | 27 | 30.7 |
| | 0-17 years | 701 | 664 | 172 | 25.9 |

Table 9. Number of patients with DKA (pH <7.3) at diagnosis. Years 2016-2020.

Publications in 2020

- 1. Samuelsson J, Samuelsson U, Hanberger L, Bladh M, Åkesson K. Poor metabolic control in childhood strongly correlates to diabetes-related premature death in persons <30years of age-A population-based cohort study. Pediatr Diabetes. 2020 Jan 14
- Cherubini V, Grimsmann JM, Åkesson K, Birkebæk NH, Cinek O, Dovč K, Gesuita R, Gregory JW, Hanas R, Hofer SE, Holl RW, Jefferies C, Joner G, King BR, Mayer-Davis EJ, Peña AS, Rami-Merhar B, Schierloh U, Skrivarhaug T, Sumnik Z, Svensson J, Warner JT, Bratina N, Dabelea D. Temporal trends in diabetic ketoacidosis at diagnosis of paediatric type 1 diabetes between 2006 and 2016: results from 13 countries in three continents. Diabetologia. 2020 Aug;63(8):1530-1541.
- Anderzén J, Hermann JM, Samuelsson U, Charalampopoulos D, Svensson J, Skrivarhaug T, Fröhlich-Reiterer E, Maahs DM, Akesson K, Kapellen T, Fritsch M, Birkebaek NH, Drivvoll AK, Miller K, Stephenson T, Hofer SE, Fredheim S, Kummernes SJ, Foster N, Amin R, Hilgard D, Rami-Merhar B, Dahl-Jørgensen K, Clements M, Hanas R, Holl RW, Warner JT. International benchmarking in type 1 diabetes: Large difference in childhood HbA1c between 8 high-income countries but similar rise during adolescence-A quality registry study. Pediatr Diabetes. 2020 Apr 6.
- 4. Svensson J, Møller Sildorf S, Bøjstrup J, Kreine S, Skrivarhaug T, Hanberger L, Petersson C, Åkesson K, Frøisland D H, Chaplin J The DISABKIDS© generic and diabetes specific modules are valid but not directly comparable between Denmark, Sweden and Norway Pediatr Diabetes. 2020 Aug;21(5):900-908.





National Diabetes Registry (NDR) goal is better diabetic care.

Diabetes is a serious chronic disease with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease and premature death. There is a very strong scientific support that good multifactorial diabetes treatment can delay and prevent diabetes complications in a cost-effective way. This is a daily challenge for diabetes care. National Diabetes Register (NDR) is a tool to drive the improvement work and follow how it goes, as well as identifying additional challenges. It is a tool that in part benefits daily improvement work but also since the data is public it can also be used as a foundation for national improvement.

NDR is an international model for improvement work and how we learn more and more about diabetes. The effect of the registration and the results generated by the register has undoubtedly been a part of the improved risk factor control and the reduction in cardiovascular morbidity and mortality rates in both type 1 and type 2 diabetes that can be observed. The register's work should continue to focus on yet further improvements.

